The Crystal Structure of LiGaO₂

By M. MAREZIO

Bell Telephone Laboratories, Murray Hill, N.J., U.S.A.

(Received 6 May 1964)

LiGaO₂ is orthorhombic, space group $Pna2_1$ with four molecules in a cell of dimensions $a = 5 \cdot 402$, $b = 6 \cdot 372$, $c = 5 \cdot 007$ Å. The positions of all atoms have been determined with high precision. The final R index is less than 2% and bond lengths have been determined to an accuracy of 0.004 Å for Ga-O bonds and 0.020 Å for Li-O bonds. Each atom is tetrahedrally coordinated and the structure consists of an infinite three-dimensional array of tetrahedra having only vertices in common. An experimental check of the new formula for secondary extinction correction developed by Zachariasen is included.

Introduction

The structure of $LiGaO_2$ has been determined as part of a program for finding new refractory piezoelectric substances. The first single crystals were grown by J. P. Remeika from a flux, and he found them to be very strongly piezoelectric with a high coupling coefficient. This material appears to be harder than quartz with an acoustic loss comparable to or better than that of quartz (Remeika & Ballman, 1964).

The crystals are orthorhombic with cell dimensions

$$a = 5.402 \pm 0.001, b = 6.372 \pm 0.001, c = 5.007 \pm 0.001$$
 A.

These values are in good agreement with those of Hoppe (1959) whose cell dimensions from powder were: a=5.404, b=6.374 and c=5.010 Å. The cell contains four molecules, the calculated density being 4.187 g.cm⁻³. The only systematic absences among the reflections are: 0kl when k+l is odd and h0lwhen h is odd. From these absences and the fact that the crystals are piezoelectric one is led to the space group symmetry $Pna2_1$ with all atoms in general position

$$(x, y, z) (\overline{x}, \overline{y}, \frac{1}{2} + z) (\frac{1}{2} - x, \frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{1}{2} + z) (\frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{1}{2} - y, z)$$

and 11 positional degrees of freedom (one z coordinate can be chosen at will).

Determination of the structure

All intensity measurements were taken with a General Electric XRD 3 spectrometer rebuilt for single-crystal work, a proportional counter and filtered Cu $K\alpha$ radiation being used. All data were taken on one

crystal which had been ground into a sphere of radius $R = 0.0121 \pm 0.0005$ cm, corresponding to $\mu R = 2.16$. The intensities of all reflections hk0, hk1 h0l, h1l, 0kl, 1kl were measured. The lattice constants were determined by measuring the 2θ values for 19 reflections in the back-reflection region. The least-squares method described by Mueller & Heaton (1960,1961) was used. Their program was modified by J. L. Bernstein for use on an IBM 7090 computer.

According to the space group symmetry $Pna2_1$ the projection of the structure on the XY plane has a center of symmetry. The first step towards the solution of the structure was therefore based on the hk0data. It was immediately evident from the hk0experimental structure factors that the gallium atom was very nearly at $(x=\frac{1}{12}, y=\frac{1}{8})$. A Fourier synthesis carried out with strong $|F_{hk0}|$ having the signs of the relative gallium contribution gave the approximate positions of the other three atoms, and quite precise x and y coordinates were obtained by least-squares refinements based on all experimental $|F_{hk0}|$ data.

An accurate XY-projection of the structure having been deduced and the z coordinate for the gallium atom chosen at zero, it was a simple task to find the approximate z coordinates of the other atoms so that refinements using the full set of three-dimensional data could be made. The Martin-Busing-Levy IBM-7090 least-squares refinement program was used with the f curves (for neutral atoms) given in International Tables for X-Ray Crystallography. The one for gallium was corrected for anomalous dispersion.

During these refinements it was found that there was still considerable secondary extinction in the specimen, in spite of surface grinding and thermal

Table 1. Final parameters

Atom	x	\boldsymbol{y}	z	B
Ga	0.0821 ± 0.0001	0.1263 ± 0.0001	0	0.431 ± 0.017 Å ²
OI	0.4066 ± 0.0007	0.1388 ± 0.0005	0.8927 ± 0.0010	0.460 ± 0.060
OII	0.0697 ± 0.0007	0.1121 ± 0.0005	0.3708 ± 0.0010	0.400 ± 0.061
Li	0.4207 ± 0.0014	0.1267 ± 0.0011	0.4936 ± 0.0097	0.612 ± 0.146

THE CRYSTAL STRUCTURE OF LiGaO₂

Table 2. Observed and calculated structure factors

	Reflec	tions <u>0 k (</u> a	und <u>1 k (</u>			Reflec	tions <u>h O /</u> a	nd <u>h 1 i</u>			Reflec	tions <u>h k 0</u> ar	d <u>hkl</u>	
<u>h k (</u>	Fo	0.8239 F _e	A	В	<u>h k /</u>	r _o	0.8281 F _c	A	В	<u>h k /</u>	Fo	0.8278 F	A	в
•011	54.41	59.57	-0.03	72.31	204	18.91	18.00	21.70	-1.24	520	31.18	31.77	-38.38	0
020	2.11	1.68	-2.04	0	403	49.89	50.29	-11.27	59.67	260	1.19	0.31	0.38	0
022	1.84	1.92	-2.33	-44.33	205	14.97	13.78	-16 48	2 28	530 450	20.91	20.75	22.99	0
031	41.48	41.21	-5.95	49.66	600	50.12	49.88	-60.24	0	360	51.26	50.32	60.79	õ
013	42.85	43.18	3.68	52.28	601	1.97	1.80	-1.00	1.94	600	49.78	49.87	-60.24	Ō
•040	86.78	89.66	-108.82	0	602	38.11	37.79	-43.93	12.33	170	18.11	18.03	21.78	0
042	62.00 30.51	38.84	-1.69	47.11	1006	34.13	35.02	50.97	11.50 և 3.ևև	540	n11 1.28	0.52 1.58	-0.64	0
004	37.15	37.91	45.82	-4.16	206	16.47	16.78	19.43	5.76	620	nil	0.69	0.83	õ
051	39.33	.39 .13	-3.36	-47.38	603	2.66	2.86	0.03	3.46	270	21.50	21.91	26.47	0
024	1.72	1.20	-1.07	0.98	*110	49.42	52.23	63.08	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	460	2.90	2.24	-2.71	0
053	28.55	27.89	-4.85	-33.50	111	27.33	27.47	-0.42	33.16	550	18.13	18.49	22.33	ő
015	24.67	24.65	2.52	29.82	210	37.75	39.39	-47.56	0	370	1.96	1.69	2.04	ō
044	31.46	31.05	-37.57	2.87	211	24.23	23.80	-2.49	28.63	*080	40.65	40.73	49.20	2
035	23.78	2.99	3.03	28.86	212	35.21	34.84	-41.93	-3.40	+640	1.09	1.40	-1.79	0
071	19.85	19.38	4.64	-23.06	310	5.16	5.09	6.15	0	+011	54.55	59.86	-0.03	72.31
006	33.72	33.45	38.97	11.38	311	41.24	40.74	-2.40	49.13	111	27.20	27.46	-0.42	33.16
064	1.86	5.51	2.12	-1.75	013	44.36	43.40	3.68	52.28	*121	47.88	52.06	-24.87	57.77
073	23.75	22.88	3.33	-27.57	312	3.61	3.52	1.04	-4.12	211	23.85	23.79	-2.49	28.63
•080	40.01	40.53	49.20	0	213	21.37	21.03	-0.25	25.39	031	40.40	41.40	-5.95	49.66
055	16.61	17.02	-0.29	-20.66	410	32.62	32.47	-39.21	0	221	1.14	0.44	0.18	-0.50
111	26.97	27.33	-0.42	33.16	313	20.19	20.06	-2.84	-24.06	311	25.74	25.52	-2.37	-30-13
120	55.91	59.96	-72.77	0	114	26.79	26.54	31.84	3.64	231	17.79	17.67	-4.29	20.92
+121	48.68	51.82	-24.87	57.77	412	26.91	27.02	• - 32 .62	1.00	321	2.13	1.55	-0.96	1.60
112	36.91 36.08	37.96	45.97	-3.14	214	27.62	27.90	-33-47	-3.86	141	1.67	1.55	-0.46	-1.82
122	38.63	39.34	-44.68	16.82	511	13.05	12.76	-3.42	15.03	241	39.55	39.66	12.84	-46.16
131	25.87	25.39	-2.37	-30.73	413	13.28	12.92	-3.47	-15.21	401	39.13	40.37	-13.71	46.80
132	33.97	33.19	-40.12	-3.64	314	1.77	1.67	-2.00	-0.23	411	19.90	20.05	-2.84	-24.06
140	1.41	1.66	2.02	24.99	512	25.01	24.70	-25.72	-1 11	4051	39.40	39-32 0.96	-3.30	-47.30
141	1.62	1.54	-0.46	-1.82	115	11.96	12.01	1.30	14.44	151	14.73	14.59	1.92	-17.51
•123	64.63	66.33	-16.90	78.72	215	13.11	12.74	1.89	15.26	341	2.04	1.29	-1.04	-1.17
142	1.34	1.47	1.70	-0.59	414	20.73	20.60	-24.74	-2.55	251	17.58	17.31	-0.46	-20.90
150	32.88	33.31	-40.43	-20.05	610	4.26	4.58	-2.20	10.24	511	13.16	12.76	-0.90	15.03
151	14.64	14.52	1.92	-17.51	611	24.43	24.23	-0.09	-29.25	161	32.12	32.24	8.86	-37.92
114	26.21	26.41	31.84	3.64	315	21.63	21.83	2.92	26.20	351	24.64	24.25	4.04	-29.02
143	17.00	11.55	-21.19	2.14	116	3.00	3.50	-1.29	4.02	44 <u>1</u> 501	31.49	32.13	9.20	-31.09
152	24.96	24.93	-29.75	5.53	514	17.91	18.15	-21.81	-2.23	261	1.20	1.04	-0.17	1.25
134	27.79	27.13	-32.72	-3.63	415	7.21	7.42	0.28	-8.95	531	12.84	12.77	1.63	-15.34
160	29.18	29.04	35.25	0	216	19.00	20.02	-24.18	0	451	15.08	15.12	2.67	18.07
153	14.66	32°.09 1≜.35	0.88	-17.39	613	20.23	20.69	-1.47	-24.94	361	10 10	0.90	0.49 հ հե	-0.97
115	12.13	11.95	1.30	14.44		Reflec	tions h k 0 a	nd h k l		601	1.80	1.80	-1.00	1.94
144	nil	0.79	0.54	-0.80						171	14.22	14.37	1.92	17.25
162	55.15	21.99 hh 66	25.78	-6.87	<u>h k /</u>	F	0.8278 F	A	В	541	1.53	0.48	-0.51	-20.28
170	18.35	17.94	21.78	0		0				621	1.72	0.86	-0.69	-0.77
135	10.06	9.95	-0.34	-12.07	* 110	49.66	52.22	63.08	0	271	8.69	8.81	2.95	-10.22
163	39.00	38.91	8.19	-46.51	020	1.44	1.69	-2.04	0	461	1.53	1.83	0.81	2.06
154	16.40	15.83	-19.14	-1.77	•200	61.05	63.01	76.12	õ	551	7.84	8,43	3.42	-9.60
172	19.17	19.16	22,88	4.15	210	39.45	39 . 37	-47.56	ō	371	23.23	24.69	2.76	29.70
145	2.01	1.78	1.14	-1.84	220	nil	0.05	-0.06	0				·	
164	11.00	20.45	24./2	2.10	310	30.50 5.16	5 00	-44.12	0					
126	13.78	15.21	-17.73	-5.14	230	39.30	37.69	-45.53	õ					
173	8.94	9.72	2.58	11.51	+040	90.36	90.08	-108.82	0					
180	1.55	1.47	-1.79	0	* 320 140	89.19	89.43	-108.04	0					
	Reflec	tions h 0 / s	und h l /		330	3.95	3.79	-4.58	0					
					240	41.74	41.14	-49.70	ō					
<u>h</u> k ł	F	0.8281 F.	A	в	400	36.98	36.86	-44.53	0					
	0	· · c ·		-	410 AQ	2.70	1 28	- 59-21	0					
* 200	60.67	63.03	76.12	0	150	33.75	33.47	-40.43	ŏ					
+002	84.54	92.57	102.62	-44.33	340	1.69	1.94	2.34	0					
+201	48.24	50.84	-24.08	56.47	250	24.04	23.31	28,16	0					
*202	40.25	40.04	45.07	-17.96	4 JU 51 O	30.80	31.05	-37.51	0					
400	36.56	36.87	-44.53	0	060	1.98	2.48	3.00	ŏ					
401	40.55	40.38	-13.71	46.80	160	29.46	29.18	35.25	0					
004	38.33	38.10	45.82	-4.16	350	2.37	2.76	-3.34	0					
402	21.54	21.34	- 31.70	9.25	440	<i><1</i> .41	21.50	55.22	U					

shock treatment in liquid nitrogen. Therefore, the early refinements were made with only weak reflections for which secondary extinction is negligible. The structure factors corresponding to strong reflections could thus be calculated and corrected for secondary extinction.

Since in the first formula of the next paragraph only the linear term is taken into consideration, the reflections for which the extinction correction was larger than 40% were left out of the final refinement which gave a conventional R index of 0.019 (including the 'zeros'), and the atomic parameters shown in Table 1. The degree of agreement between observed and calculated structure factors is shown in Table 2. The values marked with an asterisk are those which were left out of the final refinement.

Extinction correction

The new formula for secondary extinction correction developed by Zachariasen (1963) was used:

$$F_{
m corr} pprox F_o(1+eta(2\, heta)CJ_o)$$
 ,

where F_o is the observed structure factor, $F_{\rm corr}$ the value corrected for secondary extinction, J_o the observed integrated intensity on an arbitrary scale, C is a constant to be found, while $\beta(2\theta)$ takes account of the angular variation of the extinction correction. For an unpolarized incident beam the expression for $\beta(2\theta)$ is

$$\beta(2\theta) = \frac{2(1+\cos^4 2\theta)}{(1+\cos^2 2\theta)^2} \frac{A^{*'}(2\theta)}{A^{*'}(0)}$$

where $A^{*'}(2\theta)$ is the value of $dA^{*'}/d\mu$ at 2θ and $A^{*'}(0)$ the value at $2\theta=0$.

Although the purpose of this structure determination was not intended as an experimental test of this new formula, after the high precision attained in the last refinement it was thought worthwhile to show the agreement between the theoretical $\beta(2\theta)C$



Fig. 1. The experimental values βC obtained with a sphere of LiGaO₂ for which $\mu R = 2 \cdot 16$. The curve is the theoretical one, calculated with $C = 1 \cdot 1 \cdot 10^{-3}$.

and the experimental one. This is shown in Fig. 1 for hk0, h0l and 0kl reflections only.

Discussion of the structure

The coordinates listed in Table 1 give the interatomic distances and angles reported in Tables 3 and 4, respectively.

Table 3. Bond lengths

Tetrahedron about Ga

G_{α} O_{α}	1.825 ± 0.004 Å	00	3.012 ± 0.006 Å
0	1.860 ± 0.004 A	-0-'	3.050 ± 0.003
-011	1.000 ± 0.000	-01	3 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 5
-01°	1.852 ± 0.004	-0 _{II}	3.032 ± 0.003
$-O_{II}$	1.844 ± 0.004	$O_{II} - O_{I'}$	3.004 ± 0.006
Average	$1.848 \pm 0.004 \text{ A}$	$-O_{II}$	$2 \cdot 980 \pm 0 \cdot 004$
		OI'-OII'	$3 \cdot 021 \pm 0 \cdot 005$
		Average	$3 \cdot 016 \pm 0 \cdot 005$
Tetrahedron	n about Li	-	
Li-O _I	2.001 ± 0.026 Å	OI-OII	3.189 ± 0.006 Å
-O11	1.995 + 0.018	$-O_{I'}$	3.227 + 0.004
$-\Omega_{T}^{2}$	1.997 + 0.018	011'	3.182 + 0.005
$-\dot{\Omega}_{11}$	1.949 ± 0.019	$0_{11} - 0_{1}$	3.251 ± 0.006
Average	1.985 ± 0.020	-011	3.222 ± 0.004
11Voluge	1 000 1 0 020	$O_{I}' - O_{II}'$	3.360 ± 0.005
		Average	3.239 ± 0.005
Tetrahe	dron about O_I	Tetrahedro	on about O _{II}
Ga-Ga	3·128 Å	Ga-Ga	3·106 Å
Li –Li	3.100	Li –Li	3.125
LiGa	3.189	Li-Ga	3.184
	3.139	2. 0.0	3.057
	2.057		2.150
	3-037 9.07		9.109
	3.075		3.019

Table 4. Bond angles

O _I -Ga-O _{II}	109·2°	O _I -Li-O _{II}	105·9°
O _I -Ga-O _I '	111.7	O _I -Li-O _I '	107.7
O _I -Ga-O _{II} '	111.0	O _I -Li-O _{II} '	107.3
O _{II} -Ga-O _I '	108.1	O _{II} -Li-O _I '	109.1
O _{II} -Ga-O _{II} '	107.1	O _{II} -Li-O _{II} '	109.6
$O_{I}'-Ga-O_{II}'$	109.7	OI'-Li-OII'	116.8
Ga-O _I -Ga	116·1°	Ga-O _{II} -Ga	114·0°
Ga–O _I –Ga Li–O _I –Li	116·1° 101·7	Ga–O _{II} –Ga Li–O _{II} –Li	114·0° 104·8
Ga–O _I –Ga Li–O _I –Li Li–O _I –Ga	116·1° 101·7 111·8	Ga-O _{II} -Ga Li-O _{II} -Li Li-O _{II} -Ga	114·0° 104·8 114·1
Ga-O _I -Ga Li-O _I -Li Li-O _I -Ga Li-O _I -Ga	116·1° 101·7 111·8 109·7	Ga–O _{II} –Ga Li–O _{II} –Li Li–O _{II} –Ga Li–O _{II} –Ga	114·0° 104·8 114·1 106·8
Ga-O _I -Ga Li-O _I -Li Li-O _I -Ga Li-O _I -Ga Li-O _I -Ga	116·1° 101·7 111·8 109·7 107·5	Ga-O _{II} -Ga Li-O _{II} -Li Li-O _{II} -Ga Li-O _{II} -Ga Li-O _{II} -Ga	114·0° 104·8 114·1 106·8 110·7
$\begin{array}{l} \text{Ga-O_I-Ga} \\ \text{Li-O_I-Li} \\ \text{Li-O_I-Ga} \\ \text{Li-O_I-Ga} \\ \text{Li-O_I-Ga} \\ \text{Li-O_I-Ga} \\ \text{Li-O_I-Ga} \end{array}$	116·1° 101·7 111·8 109·7 107·5 109·1	Ga-O _{II} -Ga Li-O _{II} -Li Li-O _{II} -Ga Li-O _{II} -Ga Li-O _{II} -Ga Li-O _{II} -Ga	114.0° 104.8 114.1 106.8 110.7 105.7

The structure consists of an infinite three dimensional array of tetrahedra having only vertices in common, as shown in Fig. 2. At the vertices there are oxygen atoms and at the centers gallium and lithium atoms. Each oxygen is shared among four tetrahedra, two Ga-centered and two Li-centered; it shares then $(\frac{3}{4} + \frac{3}{4})$ valence with the gallium atom and $(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4})$ with the lithium atom. Actually the same scheme would be obtained if the tetrahedra were drawn by putting the gallium and the lithium atoms at the vertices and the O_I and O_{II} atoms at the centers. This means that in this structure each is tetrahedrally coordinated.

The mean bond lengths are: Ga - 4O = 1.848 Å and Li - 4O = 1.985 Å. In β -Ga₂O₃ Geller (1960) found that the distance Ga-O for tetrahedrally coordinated gallium is 1.83 Å.



Fig. 2. A plane view of the arrangement of the tetrahedra in the structure. The oxygen atoms are located at the vertices of the vertices-linked tetrahedra. (The Ga and Li atoms are omitted from this diagram.)

During the last refinement anisotropic thermal coefficients were tried, but this did not give any improvement in precision. The correlation matrix obtained from the refinement program shows that the interdependence among the scale factors and the atomic parameters is fairly weak. One is led then to say that in this structure there is negligible thermal anisotropy.

Note added in proof.—An arrangement similar to the one of $LiGaO_2$ has been found by Bertaut & Blum (1954) for NaFeO₂. The two compounds seem to be isostructural.

As can be seen from Fig. 2, $LiGaO_2$ has the arrangement of a wurtzite-like structure. The departure from the hexagonal symmetry is due to the need for accommodating metallic atoms of different sizes.



Fig. 3. A projection of the structure on the XY plane. The heights of the atoms in Å are given in parenthesis. The bond lengths are also shown.

The experimental data for this work were taken at the University of Chicago and I am indebted to Professor W. H. Zachariasen for permission to use his X-ray equipment. I wish also to thank J. P. Remeika who supplied the crystal of lithium metagallate and S. Geller for helpful discussions.

References

- BERTAUT, F. & BLUM, P. (1954). C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris, 239, 429.
- GELLER, S. (1960). J. Chem. Phys. 33, 676.
- HOPPE, R. (1959). Angew. Chem. 71, 457.
- MUELLER, M. H. & HEATON, LE R. (1961). Determination of Lattice Parameters with the Aid of a Computer. Argonne National Laboratory Report, ANL-6176, January, 1961.
- MUELLER, M. H., HEATON, LE R. & MILLER, K. T. (1960). Acta Cryst. 13, 828.
- REMEIKA, J. P. & BALLMAN, A. A. (1964). Appl. Phys. Letters. 5 (9), 180.
- ZACHARIASEN, W. H. (1963). Acta Cryst. 16, 1139.